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## Overview

The National Health and Aging Trends Study (NHATS) asks participants to report their total income for the prior calendar year in Rounds 1, 3, 5, 7, 9 and 11 forward. For those who do not report an exact value for total annual income, information is collected in broad categories. For single Sample Persons, individual income is reported; for those with a spouse or partner, couple income is reported.

In order to facilitate the use of these income data by the research community, we have developed multiple total income variables that include imputed values for those with missing income information. Through Round 11, NHATS included 5 income imputations on the Sample Person (SP) release files. These initial imputations were prepared using a hot deck approach. Beginning in Round 12, and for all prior rounds, NHATS expanded the number of imputations provided to 20 to improve standard error estimation. These expanded imputations are provided in a set of auxiliary files and were prepared using an interval regression methodology.

This technical paper describes the updated income imputation methodology using interval regression in NHATS. A separate technical paper describes the methodology for the initial income imputation methodology using a hot deck approach (Hu, Freedman \& Rui 2024).

Although this technical paper demonstrates that percentile distributions for the two sets of estimates are close, means and standard deviations are lower for estimates based upon the updated methodology. We therefore recommend that researchers use the auxiliary set of 20 imputations. ${ }^{1}$

## Auxiliary Imputed Income Files and Variables

The NHATS Imputed Income file includes one observation for each NHATS participant in the given round. Those who completed a living SP interview (r\#dresid 1, 2, or 4) have reported or imputed values of total income. Following NHATS missing data conventions, remaining SPs (with r\#dresid values of $3,5,6,7$ or 8 ) have variables on these files set to inapplicable (-1) or missing (-9).

The income imputation file for each round of NHATS includes 20 values of total income. In addition, a flag variable indicating which cases have imputed (vs. reported) values is included along with a derived variable indicating the reason for imputation.

Table 1. Total Income Imputation Variables Included in NHATS Auxiliary Files (All Rounds)

| Variable name | Label | Description |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| ia\#toincimif | R\# F IMPUTED TOTAL INC <br> FLG INTERVAL | Flag indicating imputation |

[^0]| ia\#dtoincimi1- <br> ia\#dtoincimi20 | R\# D IA50 IMPUTED <br> TOTAL INC1-20 INTERVAL | Includes reported and imputed amounts |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ia\#dtoincimreas | R\# D IMPUTED TOTAL INC <br> REASON | Derived variable indicating reason for imputation: <br> 1 Not imputed: exact value reported |
|  |  | 2 Imputed: bracket response only <br> 3 Imputed: missing exact value and bracket |
|  |  | response <br> 4 Imputed: other |

## Using Imputed Income in Analyses

We recommend that researchers use the auxiliary set of 20 imputations, since they yield more reliable estimates with narrower standard errors than the 5 hot deck imputations previously provided.

To adjust coefficients and standard errors for the variability between imputations following the combination rules by Rubin (1987), users can use the "mi estimate: svy" command in Stata to run estimations on the imputed dataset. To use this procedure, researchers must first generate an income variable that only includes non-imputed income values (that is, they must replace outliers that were imputed with a missing value. We have included code below for merging the auxiliary file, generating a non-imputed version of total income, and estimating weighted mean total income.

Below is an example of Stata code that may be used to estimate weighted mean income using NHATS Round 12. Other rounds are identical except for the round number in the variable names.
*merge income imputation file with SP file
use "[path\]NHATS_Round_12_Interval_Inc_Imp_File.dta", clear

merge 1:1 spid using "[path\]NHATS_Round_12_SP_File.dta"

drop _merge
*generate a total income variable that includes only non-imputed income values
gen ia12totinc2 $=$ ia12dtoincimi1
replace ia12totinc2 $=$. if ia12dtoincimreas > 1
*save non imputed income variable to a new dataset
save newdataset, replace
*use this new dataset for analysis
use newdataset, clear
*use mi import to import data that include reported total income and additional imputed total income variables
mi import wide, imputed (ia12totinc2 = ia12dtoincimi1 ia12dtoincimi2 ia12dtoincimi3 ia12dtoincimi4 ia12dtoincimi5 ia12dtoincimi6 ia12dtoincimi7 ia12dtoincimi8 ia12dtoincimi9 ia12dtoincimi10 ia12dtoincimi11 ia12dtoincimi12 ia12dtoincimi13 ia12dtoincimi14 ia12dtoincimi15 ia12dtoincimi16 ia12dtoincimi17 ia12dtoincimi18 ia12dtoincimi19 ia12dtoincimi20) drop
mi set wide
*NHATS Round 12 estimate weighted mean total income
mi svyset w12varunit [pweight=w12anfinwgt0], strata(w12varstrat) singleunit(centered) mi estimate: svy, subpop(if r12dresid $==1|r 12 d r e s i d==2| r 12 d r e s i d==4$ ): mean ia12totinc2

## Extent of Missing Data for Total Income

Table 2 shows the percentage of participants that require imputation and the reason for NHATS through Round 12. The percentage of respondents who did not require imputation (i.e., provided an exact value of total income) increased across rounds from $54.3 \%$ in Round 1 to $72.0 \%$ in Round 12. A categorical response (requiring imputation within a bracket) was reported by $13.6 \%-21.7 \%$, depending on the round and $13.1 \%-30.6 \%$ were missing both an exact valued and a categorical response (requiring a full imputation). In addition, about $1 \%$ of respondents provided values that were considered outliers (extremely low or high values that were inconsistent with other rounds) and hence imputed.

Table 2. Percentage of NHATS Participants Requiring Income Imputation and Reason

|  | $n^{*}$ | \% Not imputed: <br> exact value <br> reported | \% Imputed: <br> bracket <br> response only | \% Imputed: missing <br> exact value and <br> bracket response | \% <br> Imputed: <br> other |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Round 12 | 5,900 | 72.0 | 14.5 | 12.6 | 1.0 |
| Round 11 | 3,388 | 68.5 | 17.2 | 14.0 | 0.3 |
| Round 9 | 4,460 | 68.2 | 17.9 | 13.1 | 0.9 |
| Round 7 | 5,566 | 64.0 | 19.5 | 15.4 | 1.1 |
| Round 5 | 7,576 | 55.4 | 19.4 | 24.7 | 0.6 |
| Round 3 | 4,884 | 58.3 | 21.7 | 19.2 | 0.8 |
| Round 1 | 7,609 | 54.3 | 13.6 | 30.6 | 1.6 |
| *Includes those who completed a living SP interview. |  |  |  |  |  |

## Imputation Approach

For purposes of imputation, we transformed income reports to log income (after adding \$1). For respondents missing an exact value (or reporting an outlier value suspected to be misreporting ${ }^{2}$ ), we used multiple imputation by chained equations (MICE) to impute missing covariates and then missing total income. We used interval regression in Stata, which fills in the missing values of partially observed (censored) income (that is, within a range).

[^1]To implement the interval regression approach, we specified a lower and upper limit for all cases. For cases with an exact value, we added $\$ 1$ to the reported value, and specified the lower and upper limits to be the log-transform of that amount (essentially assigning the reported value to each imputation). For cases with only categorical information, we used the log-transformed upper and lower amounts of the bracket (plus $\$ 1$ ) as limits. Cases with only an upper limit (e.g., income < $\$ 40,000$ in Round 12) received $\$ 1$ as their lower limit. Cases with only a lower limit (e.g., income > \$145,000 in Round 12) received a missing value for their upper limit, which can take any positive value above the lower limit. For low-end outliers (<\$200) inconsistent with prior rounds, we assumed that the exact value was in the lowest income category, e.g., <\$40,000 for Round 12. For cases missing both sources of income (exact value and categorical information) and for high-end outliers ( $>\$ 900,000$ ) inconsistent with prior rounds, the lower limit was specified as $\$ 1$ and upper limit set to missing. Before releasing the data, we transformed reported and imputed values back to the original (non-logged) dollar scale.

## Imputation Groups

Imputation groups were formed based on available covariates. For Rounds 1, 3, 7, 9, and 11, three imputation groups were formed:

1. Sample persons (SPs) with a spouse/partner who completed the entire interview (non-breakoff);
2. SPs without a spouse/partner, non-breakoff;
3. SPs who did not complete the interview (breakoff);

For replenish rounds, i.e., Rounds 5 and 12, the three groups above were further divided into continuing and new SP groups (i.e., yielding six groups in Round 5 and five groups in Round 12. Sample sizes for each imputation group are presented by round in Appendix Table 1.

The breakoff group criteria changed starting in Round 11. Prior to Round 11, the Income \& Assets (IA) section was administered near the end of the interview. For those rounds, most of the breakoffs occurred before the IA section. We therefore used the breakoff indicator (r\#breakoffst) provided by NHATS to define the breakoff groups for imputation purposes. In 2011 the interview was reorganized and the IA section now occurs earlier in the interview. Starting in Round 11, we therefore classify interviews as breakoffs if they do not have most of the variables used in imputation - that is, if they occur before the end of the Economic WellBeing (EW) section.

## Variables Used in Imputation

Here we provide an overview of covariates included in the imputation models. See Appendix Table 2 for details by imputation group and round. For all rounds, four classes of variables were used in the imputation.

1. Sources of income indicate whether the respondent (and his/her spouse/partner, if applicable) has each source (yes/no). Sources include: Social Security and/or Railroad Retirement payments; Supplemental Security Income; Veteran's Administration payments; and whether they worked for pay last month.
2. Sources of wealth (some of which may generate income) indicate whether the respondent (and his/her spouse/partner, if applicable) has each source. Sources include: pension plans that were a job-related or union benefit; other retirement plans such as 401K plans, 403B plans or IRAs; mutual funds or stocks; any bonds; checking account; savings account; certificates of deposit (CDs); whether they own their home (and home value), rent their home or have some other arrangement; and whether they own a business, a farm, or any other real estate besides their home.
3. Other income-related predictors include: whether they usually pay off all credit card balances every month or don't have any credit cards and amount of balances owned; whether they have medical bills that are being paid over time; whether they receive or provide financial gifts to children or other relatives either regularly or just every so often as needed (and the amount of financial help provided to children or other relatives); whether they received food stamps, other food assistance such as Meals-on-Wheels, and / or gas, electricity, or other energy assistance; whether they have enough money for rent or mortgage, utilities and / or medical or prescription drug bills; and whether they live in Section 8 public housing or housing for low-income seniors.
4. Other predictors include: age, race/ethnicity, gender, educational attainment, current marital status, if there has been a change in marital status change from last round (continuing SPs only), total income from the last round (reported or average of 20 imputations for continuing SPs only), residential care status, whether the SP responded for themselves or had a proxy respondent, and interviewer observations about the home condition. Census division and metro/non-metro residence are also included as geographic indicators related to the complex sample design of NHATS. In Round 11 only, mode for Part 1 of the NHATS interview was also included as a predictor.

## Income Imputation Procedure

For each imputation group, we estimated multiple imputations by chained equations (MICE) first to fill in missing values for covariates (starting with the least missing) and then for logged total income. Interval regression was used to impute 20 values of logged total income. We tested various numbers of imputations, ranging from 5 to 50 . We found that 20 imputations consistently resulted in stable standard error estimation. The final number of imputations also aligns with recommendations from the literature when up to $30 \%$ of respondents have missing values (Graham et al., 2007; Bodener, 2008). For each imputation group, we specified 20 burnin iterations (i.e., the number of iterations before the first set of imputed values is drawn). Final imputed variables were transformed back to a non-logged dollar scale.

We incorporated NHATS survey design variables into the imputation procedure by using NHATS weights in the imputation model (using a pweight statement). ${ }^{3}$

A summary of imputation model results can be found in the Appendix.

## Descriptive Statistics for Total Income

Table 3 presents weighted descriptive statistics through Round 12 using 20 imputed values generated through the interval regression approach. The weighted means are based on averages over the 20 imputations and the standard error calculations take into account the extra variations introduced by the uncertainty of the imputation.

Table 3. Weighted Means and Percentiles for Total Income (in dollars), NHATS Rounds 1-12

|  | Reference <br> Year | Ages | n | Mean | Standard <br> error | $25^{\text {th }}$ <br> percentile | Median | $75^{\text {th }}$ <br> percentile |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Round 12 | a | 2021 | $65+$ | 5,900 | 72,178 | 2,333 | 25,000 | 50,000 |
| 94,034 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Round 11 | 2020 | $71+$ | 3,388 | 64,321 | 2,656 | 24,637 | 47,000 | 80,000 |
| Round 9 | 2018 | $69+$ | 4,460 | 61,986 | 2,058 | 22,889 | 44,048 | 80,000 |
| Round 7 | 2016 | $67+$ | 5,566 | 60,411 | 2,135 | 22,000 | 41,741 | 76,602 |
| Round 5 | 2014 | $65+$ | 7,576 | 57,744 | 1,841 | 20,400 | 40,000 | 74,000 |
| Round 3 | 2012 | $67+$ | 4,884 | 52,774 | 1,711 | 18,980 | 35,270 | 68,985 |
| Round 1 | 2010 | $65+$ | 7,609 | 46,540 | 1,335 | 17,320 | 32,000 | 59,610 |

Note: Weighted means and standard errors were computed using "mi estimate: svy" command. Median and percentiles were computed using the "_pctile" command for the average of the 20 imputed variables. Final analytic weights were used in these analyses. Total income is for individuals and their spouse/partners (if applicable).
${ }^{a}$ Version 2. Values for Version 1 were 71,980 (Mean); 2,305 (SE), 25,000 ( $25^{\text {th }}$ percentile), 50,000 (median), and 92,784 ( $75^{\text {th }}$ percentile). See Hu \& Freedman (2023).

## Comparison with Previous Hot Deck Imputation Approach

Through Round 11, NHATS provided on each SP File 5 imputations prepared using a hot deck methodology (for details see Hu, Freedman \& Jiao, 2024). Table 4 summarizes the main differences between the current interval regression and previous hot deck approach.

[^2]Table 4. Differences Between Hot Deck and Interval Regression Imputation Approaches

| Hot Deck (5 imputations) | Interval Regression (20 imputations) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Imputation preparation: Editing before multiple imputation |  |
| - Reports of zero income were accepted as valid and eligible to be used as donors for imputation | - Reports of less than \$200 were treated as missing an exact value and imputed into the lowest income category |
| - Reports of very high incomes were accepted as valid and eligible to be used as donors for imputation | - Reports above \$900,000 were treated as missing an exact value and imputed |
| Imputation |  |
| - Cyclical n-partition hot deck procedure | - Interval regression |
| - Models do not distinguish different imputation groups; most but not all variables used in imputation are the same across rounds | - Models estimated for separately groups; most but not all variables used in imputation are the same across rounds |
| - Total Income Range variables for respondents who have spouse/partner and respondents who are single are imputed first; Total Income is then imputed within the income range. | - Total Income Range variables are not imputed as an interim step |
| Delivery |  |
| - 5 imputed variables (ia\#toincim1-5) and a flag variable (ia\#toincimf) indicating which cases were imputed <br> - Total income range for respondents who have spouse/partner (ia\#eincimj1-5) and a flag variable (ia\#eincimjf) <br> - Total income range for respondents who are single (ia\#eincims1-5) and a flag variable (ia\#eincimsf) | - 20 imputed variables (ia\#dtoincimi1ia\#dtoincimi20), a flag variable (ia\#toincimif) indicating which cases were imputed, and a derived variable (ia\#dtoincimreas) indicating reason for imputation |
| - Included in SP data file | - Included in an auxiliary file zipped with the NHATS data files |

Table 5 compares descriptive statistics for the hot-deck and interval regression approaches through Round 11. The percentile distributions for the two sets of estimates are close, but means and standard errors are lower in the interval regression approach, likely because outliers were imputed rather than included as possible donors.

Table 5. NHATS imputed total income through Round 11: hot deck vs. interval regression approaches

|  | n | Mean | Standard <br> error | 25 th <br> percentile | Median | 75 th <br> percentile |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Round 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hot deck | 3,388 | 67,979 | 3,541 | 24,000 | 46,000 | 80,000 |
| Interval regression | 3,388 | 64,282 | 2,680 | 24,491 | 47,000 | 80,000 |


| Round 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hot deck | 4,460 | 62,740 | 2,501 | 22,000 | 44,000 | 80,000 |
| Interval regression | 4,460 | 64,321 | 2,656 | 24,637 | 44,048 | 80,000 |
| Round 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hot deck | 5,566 | $90,636^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $21,811^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 21,272 | 41,800 | 76,600 |
| Interval regression | 5,566 | 60,411 | 2,135 | 22,000 | 41,741 | 76,602 |
| Round 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hot deck | 7,576 | 66,548 | 4,493 | 20,000 | 40,000 | 75,000 |
| Interval regression | 7,576 | 57,744 | 1,841 | 20,400 | 40,000 | 74,000 |
| Round 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hot deck | 4,884 | 69,428 | 7,447 | 18,600 | 35,600 | 70,000 |
| Interval regression | 4,884 | 52,774 | 1,711 | 18,980 | 35,270 | 68,985 |
| Round 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hot deck | 7,609 | 58,050 | 3,222 | 16,668 | 32,200 | 60,000 |
| Interval regression | 7,609 | 46,540 | 1,335 | 17,320 | 32,000 | 59,610 |

Note: Weighted means and standard errors were computed using "mi estimate: svy" command. Median and percentiles were computed using the "_pctile" command for the average imputed variables. Final analytic weights were used in these analyses.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ In Round 7, there were several reported ( $n=12$ ) and imputed ( $n=2-9$, depending on the imputation) values above $\$ 900,000$, including reported and imputed values of $\$ 99,999,999$ and $\$ 9,999,999$.
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## Appendix

Appendix Table 1. Sample Sizes for Income Imputation Groups: NHATS Rounds 1-12

|  | Continuing SP |  |  | New SP |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1-Has <br> spouse/ partner | 2-No spouse/ partner | 3-Breakoff* | 4-Has spouse/ partner | 5-No spouse/ partner | 6-Breakoff* |
| Round 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number with Reported Income | 890 | 1,182 | 0 | 1,133 | 1,040 | 0 |
| Number with Imputed Income | 386 | 488 | 0 | 425 | 354 | 2 |
| Total Model Sample Size | 1,276 | 1,670 | 0 | 1,558 | 1,394 | 2,954 |
| Round 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number with Reported Income | 1,052 | 1,270 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Number with Imputed Income | 442 | 623 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Total Model Sample Size | 1,494 | 1,893 | 3,388 | - | - | - |
| Round 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number with Reported Income | 1,374 | 1,666 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Number with Imputed Income | 622 | 797 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Total Model Sample Size | 1,996 | 2,463 | 4,460 | - | - | - |
| Round 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number with Reported Income | 1,682 | 1,878 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Number with Imputed Income | 892 | 1,077 | 36 | - | - | - |
| Total Model Sample Size | 2,574 | 2,955 | 5,566 | - | - | - |
| Round 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number with Reported Income | 954 | 1,061 | 2 | 1,100 | 1,074 | 2 |
| Number with Imputed Income | 686 | 891 | 33 | 802 | 780 | 191 |
| Total Model Sample Size | 1,640 | 1,952 | 3,627 | 1,902 | 1,854 | 3,949 |
| Round 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number with Reported Income | 1,392 | 1,455 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Number with Imputed Income | 869 | 1,082 | 85 | - | - | - |
| Total Model Sample Size | 2,261 | 2,537 | 4,884 | - | - | - |
| Round 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number with Reported Income | 2,062 | 2,066 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Number with Imputed Income | 1,691 | 1,698 | 91 | - | - | - |
| Total Model Sample Size | 3,753 | 3,764 | 7,609 | - | - | - |

[^3]Appendix Table 2. Variables used in Income Imputation Models by Imputation Group: NHATS Rounds 1-12

|  |  |  |  |  | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Variable name | Label |  | All rounds |  |  |
|  |  | Continu Replenishm | ing and New ent Years Un Otherwise | SP in <br> ss Noted |  |
|  |  | Has spouse/ partner | No spouse/ partner | Breakoff |  |
| Sources of income |  |  |  |  |  |
| ia\#recsspa1 | R\# IA1 SP REC SOCIAL SECURITY | Yes | Yes | No |  |
| ia\#recsspa2 | R\# IA1 SPOUSE PART REC SOC SEC | Yes | No | No |  |
| ia\#recssils1 | R\# IA4 SP RECEIVD SSI LAST MONTH | Yes except for R11 | Yes | No |  |
| ia\#recssils2 | R\# IA4 SPOUSE PRT REC SSI LST MO | Yes except for R11 | No | No |  |
| ia\#recssils3 | R\# IA4 NO SSI RECEIVD LAST MONTH | No except for R11 | No | No |  |
| ia\#rvapayls1 | R\# IA5 SP REC PAY FRM VA LAST MO | Yes | Yes | No |  |
| ia\#rvapayls2 | R\# IA5 SPOUS PA REC VA PAY LSTMO | Yes | No | No |  |
| pa\#workfrpay | R\# PA17 EVER WORK FOR PAY | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |
| If\#huswifwrk | R\# LF13 HUSB WIFE PARTN PAY WORK | Yes | No | No |  |
| Sources of wealth |  |  |  |  |  |
| ia\#penjobou1 | R\# IA6 SP HAS PENSION PLAN | Yes | Yes | No |  |
| ia\#penjobou2 | R\# IA6 SPOUSE HAS PENSION PLAN | Yes | No | No |  |
| ia\#iraothac1 | R\# IA7 SP HAS IRA OTH RETIRE ACC | Yes | Yes | No |  |
| ia\#iraothac2 | R\# IA7 SPOUSE HAS IRA OTHR ACC | Yes | No | No |  |
| ia\#mutfdstk1 | R\# IA8 SP OWNS MUTUAL FUND STOCK | Yes | Yes | No | Combined into a single variable: whether SP or spouse/partner of SP own any mutual fund stocks or bonds |
| ia\#mutfdstk2 | R\# IA8 SPOUSE OWNS FUNDS STOCK |  |  |  |  |
| ia\#mutfdstk3 | R\# IA8 SP SPOUSE OWN FUNDS STOCK |  |  |  |  |
| ia\#mutfdstk4 | R\# IA8 NO FUNDS OR STOCK OWNED |  |  |  |  |
| ia\#ownbond1 | R\# IA12 SP OWNS BONDS |  |  |  |  |
| ia\#ownbond2 | R\# IA12 SPOUSE OWNS BONDS |  |  |  |  |
| ia\#ownbond3 | R\# IA12 SP SPOUSE OWN BONDS |  |  |  |  |
| ia\#ownbond4 | R\# IA12 NO BONDS OWNED |  |  |  |  |
| ia\#bnkacced1 | R\# IA10 SP OWNS CHECK ACCT | No | Yes | No |  |
| ia\#bnkacccd4 | R\# IA10 NO CHECK ACCT OWNED | $\mathrm{No}^{\text {a }}$ | No | No |  |
| ia\#bnkacccd5 | R\# IA10 SP OWNS SAVINGS ACCT | Yes | Yes | No | Combined into a single variable: whether SP or spouse/partner of SP own any savings account or CD |
| ia\#bnkacccd6 | R\# IA10 SPOUSE OWNS SAVING ACCT |  |  |  |  |
| ia\#bnkacccd7 | R\# IA10 SP SPOUSE OWN SAVNG ACT |  |  |  |  |
| ia\#bnkacccd8 | R\# IA10 NO SAVINGS ACCT OWNED |  |  |  |  |
| ia\#bnkacccd9 | R\# IA10 SP OWNS CDS |  |  |  |  |
| ia\#bnkaccc10 | R\# IA10 SPOUSE OWNS CDS |  |  |  |  |
| ia\#bnkaccc11 | R\# IA10 SP SPOUSE OWN CDS |  |  |  |  |
| ia\#bnkaccc12 | R\# IA10 NO CDS OWNED |  |  |  |  |
| hp\#ownrentot | R\# HP1 OWN RENT OR OTHER |  |  |  | Three versions: |
| hp\#homevalue | R\# HP4 PRESENT VALUE OF HOME |  |  |  | A. binary (whether own or not) [Round 1] |
| hp\#homvalamt | R\# HP4A HOME VALUE AMOUNT | Yes (binary in Round 1, excluded in Round 7, 3category other years) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Yes (binary in Round 5, 3-category other years) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | No | B. 3-category variable: <br> 1. Own, home value $<\$ 200,000$ <br> 2. Own, home value $>=\$ 200,000$ <br> 3. Rent or other arrangement; <br> C. 4-category variable: <br> 1. Own, home value < \$200,000 <br> 2. Own, home value $>=\$ 200,000$ <br> 3. Rents <br> 4. Other arrangement |
| ia\#realestt1 | R\# IA13 SP OWNS REAL ESTATE | No | Yes | No |  |
| ia\#realestt4 | R\# IA13 NO REAL ESTATE OWNED | Yes | No | No |  |
| Other income-related predictors |  |  |  |  |  |
| ew\#pycredbal | R\# EW1 PAY OFF CREDIT CARD BALAN | Yes (4category except <br> Round 11 continuing) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes (4- } \\ & \text { category) } \end{aligned}$ | No | Two versions: <br> A. Binary variable: <br> 1. Has a credit card; <br> 2. Doesn't have credit card <br> B. 4-category variable: |
| ew\#crecardeb | R\# EW2 TOTAL CREDIT CARD DEBT |  |  |  |  |


|  |  |  |  |  | 1. Pays off credit card each month <br> 2. Pays minimum or more than minimum but not entire amount; has $<\$ 4,000$ balance <br> 3. Pays more than minimum but not entire amount; has $>=\$ 4,000$ balance <br> 4. Doesn't have credit card |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ew\#medpaovtm | R\# EW5 MED BILLS PAID OVERTIME | Yes | Yes | No |  |
| ew\#finhlpfam | R\# EW7 FINANCIAL HELP FRM FAMILY | Yes | Yes | No |  |
| ew\#fingftfam | R\# EW12 FINANCIAL GIFTS TO FAMLY |  |  |  | Two ve |
| ew\#amthlpgiv | R\# EW14 AMOUNT OF HELP GIVEN | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes (3- } \\ & \text { category) } \end{aligned}$ | Yes (3category in Rounds 1, 7, and 9 continuing; binary otherwise) | No | A. Binary variable <br> 1. No gift to family <br> 2. Gift to family <br> B. 3-category: <br> 1. No gift to family <br> 2. < \$4000 gift to family <br> 3. >= \$4000 gift to family |
| ew\#progneed1 | R\# EW15 SP RECEIVD FOOD STAMPS | Yes | Yes | No | Combine into one binary variable |
| ew\#progneed2 | R\# EW15 SP REC OTHR FOOD ASST |  |  |  |  |
| ew\#progneed3 | R\# EW15 SP REC GAS ENERGY ASST |  |  |  |  |
| ew\#nopayhous | R\# EW18 NO MONEY FOR HOUSING | Yes except Round 1 | Yes except Round 1 | No | Combined into one binary variable |
| ew\#nopayutil | R\# EW18 NO MONEY FOR UTILITIES |  |  |  |  |
| ew\#nopaymed | R\# EW18 NO MONEY FOR MEDICAL |  |  |  |  |
| hp\#sec8pubsn | R\# HP7 HME SEC 8 PUBL SENOR HOUS | No | Yes | No |  |
| Other predictors |  |  |  |  |  |
| r\#dintvwrage | R\# D AGE OF SP AT INTERVIEW | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |
| rl\#dracehisp | R\# D RACE AND HISPANIC ETHNICITY | Yes | Yes | Yes | 4-category: <br> 1. White, non-Hispanic <br> 2. Black, non-Hispanic <br> 3. Others/Missing <br> 4. Hispanic |
| r\#dgender | R\# D GENDER OF SP | No | Yes | Yes |  |
| el\#higstschl | R\# EL10 HGHST DGREE SCOOL COMPLD WHEN ADDED | Yes | Yes | Yes | 4-category: <br> 1. Less than high school <br> 2. High school graduate <br> 3. Some college <br> 4. College graduate or more |
| hh\#dmarstat | R\# HH1 MARITAL STATUS | No | Yes | Yes |  |
| Marital status cha | nge from last round | Yes except Round 1 and $12^{\mathrm{d}}$ | Yes except Round 1 | Yes except Round 1 |  |
| Income from last | round in which income collected | Yes except <br> Round $1^{\text {d }}$ | Yes except Round 1 | Yes except Round 1 | Reported or imputed income from last round in which income was collected. For a small number of cases that did not have an SP interview in the last round income was collected (r\#status=64), last round income was treated as missing and imputed. |
| r\#dresid | R\# D RESIDENTIAL CARE STATUS | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |
| is\#resptype | R\# IS2 TYPE OF RESPONDENT | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |
| ir\#areacond1 | R\# IR15 LITTER GLASS ON SDWLK ST | Yes | Yes | Yes | Combined into one binary variable |
| ir\#areacond2 | R\# IR15 GRAFFITI ON BUILDG WALLS |  |  |  |  |
| ir\#areacond3 | R\# IR15 VACANT HOUSES OR STORES |  |  |  |  |
| ir\#condhome1 | R\# IR16 BROKEN WINDOWS IN HOME | Yes | Yes | Yes | Combined into one binary variable |
| ir\#condhome2 | R\# IR16 CRUMBLNG FOUNDTN IN HOME |  |  |  |  |
| ir\#condhome3 | R\# IR16 MISSNG BRCKS SIDNG IN HM |  |  |  |  |
| ir\#condhome4 | R\# IR16 ROOF PROBLEM IN HOME |  |  |  |  |
| ir\#condhome5 | R\# IR16 BROKEN STEPS TO HOME | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |
| ir\#condhome6 | R\# IR16 CONTINUOUS SIDEWALKS | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |
| re\#dcensdiv | R\# D SP CENSUS DIVISION | Yes | Yes | Yes | Recoded to four categories |
| r\#dmetnonmet | R\# D METRO / NON-METRO RESIDENCE | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |
| ayes for new sample in replenishment yearb4-category for new sample in replenishment yearc3-category for new sample Round 5 and 4-category for new Sample Round 12 |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{d}$ Not included for new Sample in Rounds 5 or 12
Appendix Table 3. Model results for NHATS Round 1 by imputation groups

|  | 1-Has spouse/ partner |  | 2-No spouse/ partner |  | 3-Breakoff |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Coef. | SE | Coef. | SE | Coef. | SE |
| SP's spouse/partner work for pay last month |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 0.12 | 0.04 |  |  |  |  |
| SP has pension plan |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 0.10 | 0.03 | 0.26 | 0.03 |  |  |
| SP's spouse/partner has pension plan |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 0.09 | 0.03 |  |  |  |  |
| SP receives social security |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | -0.08 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.06 |  |  |
| SP's spouse/partner receives social security |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | -0.03 | 0.04 |  |  |  |  |
| SP receives payment from VA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 0.11 | 0.05 | 0.16 | 0.05 |  |  |
| SP's spouse/partner receives payment from VA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 0.16 | 0.06 |  |  |  |  |
| SP receives SSI last month |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | -0.25 | 0.09 | -0.26 | 0.05 |  |  |
| SP's spouse/partner receives SSI last month |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | -0.23 | 0.09 |  |  |  |  |
| SP has IRA or other retirement plans |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 0.11 | 0.03 | 0.15 | 0.04 |  |  |
| SP's spouse/partner has IRA or other retirement plans <br> No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 0.11 | 0.03 |  |  |  |  |
| SP or spouse/partner of SP own any saving account or CD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 0.13 | 0.04 | 0.16 | 0.03 |  |  |
| SP owns checking account |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes |  |  | 0.14 | 0.05 |  |  |
| No checking account owed |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | -0.17 | 0.09 |  |  |  |  |
| Receive help from food stamp, other food assistance or gas, electricity, or other energy assistance |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | -0.22 | 0.05 | -0.16 | 0.04 |  |  |
| Financial help from family |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | -0.29 | 0.07 | -0.16 | 0.05 |  |  |
| SP owns real estate |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes |  |  | 0.14 | 0.05 |  |  |
| No real estate owned by SP or spouse/partner of SP |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | -0.18 | 0.03 |  |  |  |  |
| Medical bills paid over time |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | -0.02 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.04 |  |  |
| Broken steps to home |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | -0.09 | 0.05 | -0.05 | 0.04 | -0.06 | 0.03 |


| Whether any litter, graffiti on buildings and walls, or vacant or deserted houses <br> No <br> Yes | -0.10 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.04 | -0.17 | 0.03 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Whether broken windows, crumbling foundation, missing bricks, siding or roof problems <br> No <br> Yes | 0.06 | 0.05 | -0.11 | 0.05 | -0.06 | 0.03 |
| Whether SP or spouse/partner of SP own any mutual fund or bonds $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | 0.23 | 0.03 | 0.17 | 0.05 |  |  |
| Credit card payment <br> 1 pay off <br> 2 pay minimum or more than minimum but not entire with less than 4,000 balances 3 pay more than minimum but not entire with 4,000 or more balances 4 don't have credit card | 0.03 0.15 -0.14 | 0.05 0.04 0.05 | -0.08 0.00 -0.17 | 0.05 0.05 0.04 |  |  |
| Home ownership (three-category) <br> 1 own, home value < 200,000 <br> 2 own, home value >= 200,000 <br> 3 rent or other arrangement |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.20 \\ -0.02 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.06 \\ & 0.03 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Home ownership (two-category) <br> No <br> Yes | 0.14 | 0.05 |  |  |  |  |
| Gift to family <br> 1 No gift to family <br> 2 < 4000 gift <br> $3>=4000$ gift: | $\begin{gathered} -0.01 \\ 0.35 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.03 \\ & 0.04 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.02 \\ & 0.30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.04 \\ & 0.06 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Residential care status <br> 1 Community <br> 2 Residential care not nursing home (SP interview) | -0.01 | 0.13 | -0.01 | 0.06 | -0.15 | 0.04 |
| Section 8 public housing or housing for low-income seniors No Yes |  |  | -0.10 | 0.05 |  |  |
| Ever work for pay $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | 0.24 | 0.04 | 0.25 | 0.04 | 0.34 | 0.02 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married <br> Living with a partner <br> Separated <br> Divorced <br> Widowed <br> Never married |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.10 \\ & 0.14 \\ & 0.09 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.08 \\ & 0.07 \\ & 0.09 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.26 \\ & -0.88 \\ & -0.73 \\ & -0.53 \\ & -0.69 \end{aligned}$ | 0.05 0.07 0.03 0.02 0.06 |
| Education $1<\mathrm{HS}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 HS | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.27 | 0.02 |
| $3>\mathrm{HS}$, <College | 0.16 | 0.04 | 0.16 | 0.05 | 0.48 | 0.02 |
| 4 College+ | 0.41 | 0.05 | 0.47 | 0.06 | 0.90 | 0.03 |
| Gender <br> 1 Male <br> 2 Female |  |  | -0.11 | 0.03 | -0.06 | 0.02 |
| Race/ethnicity <br> 1 White, non-Hispanic <br> 2 Black, non-Hispanic <br> 3 Others/Missing <br> 4 Hispanics | $\begin{aligned} & -0.04 \\ & -0.19 \\ & -0.28 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.05 \\ & 0.09 \\ & 0.06 \end{aligned}$ | -0.04 -0.10 -0.02 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.04 \\ & 0.08 \\ & 0.05 \end{aligned}$ | -0.23 -0.47 -0.46 | 0.02 0.06 0.04 |
| Age | -0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -0.01 | 0.00 |
| Census division <br> Northeast Region <br> Midwest Region <br> South Region <br> West Region | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00 \\ & 0.03 \\ & 0.13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.05 \\ & 0.04 \\ & 0.05 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.03 \\ 0.02 \\ -0.02 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.04 \\ & 0.04 \\ & 0.05 \end{aligned}$ | 0.01 -0.04 0.08 | 0.03 0.02 0.03 |


| Metro / Non-metro residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Metropolitan |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 Non-metropolitan | -0.16 | 0.04 | -0.07 | 0.04 | -0.18 | 0.02 |
| Response type |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Sample Person (SP) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 Proxy | -0.09 | 0.08 | -0.01 | 0.06 | -0.21 | 0.04 |
| Constant | 10.76 | 0.19 | 9.55 | 0.20 | 10.80 | 0.10 |
| Log of sigma | -0.49 | 0.03 | -0.51 | 0.03 | -0.47 | 0.02 |
| Sigma | 0.61 | 0.02 | 0.60 | 0.02 | 0.63 | 0.01 |

Appendix Table 4. Model results for NHATS Round 3 by imputation groups


| Yes | 0.06 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.02 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Whether SP or spouse/partner of SP own any mutual fund or bonds |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 0.12 | 0.03 | 0.12 | 0.03 |  |  |
| Home ownership (four-category) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 own, home value < 200,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 own, home value $>=200,000$ | 0.21 | 0.03 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 rent | 0.02 | 0.06 | 0.19 | 0.04 |  |  |
| 4 other arrangement | 0.05 | 0.07 | -0.06 | 0.03 |  |  |
| Home ownership (three-category) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 own, home value <200,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 own, home value $>=200,000$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 rent or other arrangement |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential care status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Community |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 Residential care not nursing home (SP interview) | 0.11 | 0.18 | -0.05 | 0.07 | -0.04 | 0.05 |
| 4 Nursing home (SP interview) | -0.06 | 0.18 | 0.13 | 0.12 | 0.08 | 0.06 |
| Section 8 public housing or housing for low-income seniors |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes |  |  | -0.09 | 0.06 |  |  |
| Ever work for pay |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 0.10 | 0.03 | 0.15 | 0.04 | 0.14 | 0.02 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Living with a partner |  |  |  |  | -0.06 | 0.07 |
| Separated |  |  |  |  | -0.48 | 0.10 |
| Divorced |  |  | 0.05 | 0.09 | -0.42 | 0.03 |
| Widowed |  |  | 0.09 | 0.09 | -0.31 | 0.02 |
| Never married |  |  | 0.13 | 0.10 | -0.32 | 0.05 |
| Marital status change from Round 1 to Round 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 0.07 | 0.10 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.04 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1<\mathrm{HS}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 HS | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.12 | 0.03 |
| $3>H S$, <College | 0.08 | 0.05 | 0.09 | 0.04 | 0.20 | 0.03 |
| 4 College+ | 0.19 | 0.05 | 0.19 | 0.05 | 0.35 | 0.03 |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 MALE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 FEMALE |  |  | -0.18 | 0.03 | -0.04 | 0.02 |
| Race/ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 White, non-Hispanic |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 Black, non-Hispanic | 0.06 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.03 | -0.02 | 0.02 |
| 3 Others/Missing | -0.04 | 0.10 | -0.03 | 0.06 | -0.10 | 0.06 |
| 4 Hispanics | -0.15 | 0.09 | -0.14 | 0.05 | -0.20 | 0.04 |
| Age | -0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -0.01 | 0.00 |
| Round 1 income | 0.39 | 0.03 | 0.35 | 0.03 | 0.56 | 0.02 |
| Metro / Non-metro residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Metropolitan |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 Non-metropolitan | -0.02 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.04 | -0.03 | 0.02 |
| Response type |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Sample Person (SP) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 Proxy | 0.04 | 0.08 | 0.04 | 0.05 | -0.07 | 0.03 |
| Receive help from food stamp, other food assistance or gas, electricity, or other energy assistance |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | -0.14 | 0.07 | -0.18 | 0.04 |  |  |
| No money for housing, utilities or medical bills |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | -0.16 | 0.07 | -0.08 | 0.05 |  |  |
| No checking account owed |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \| $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \text { Yes }\end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | -0.15 | 0.11 |  |  |  |  |



Appendix Table 5. Model results for NHATS Round 5 by imputation groups

|  | Continuing SP |  |  |  |  |  | New SP |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1-Has spouse/ partner |  | 2-No spouse/ partner |  | 3-Breakoff |  | 4-Has spouse/ partner |  | 5-No spouse/ partner |  | 6-Breakoff |  |
|  | Coef. | SE | Coef. | SE | Coef. | SE | Coef. | SE | Coef. | SE | Coef. | SE |
| SP's spouse/partner work for pay last month No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 0.04 | 0.05 |  |  |  |  | 0.11 | 0.04 |  |  |  |  |
| SP has pension plan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.16 | 0.03 |  |  | 0.11 | 0.03 | 0.18 | 0.04 |  |  |
| SP's spouse/partner has pension plan No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 0.06 | 0.03 |  |  |  |  | 0.10 | 0.03 |  |  |  |  |
| SP receives social security |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.08 |  |  | -0.06 | 0.04 | -0.26 | 0.09 |  |  |
| SP's spouse/partner receives social security No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | -0.10 | 0.05 |  |  |  |  | -0.09 | 0.04 |  |  |  |  |
| SP receives payment from VA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.09 | 0.05 |  |  | 0.16 | 0.06 | 0.16 | 0.08 |  |  |
| SP's spouse/partner receives payment from VA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 0.02 | 0.08 |  |  |  |  | 0.09 | 0.06 |  |  |  |  |
| SP receives SSI last month |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | -0.53 | 0.20 | -0.04 | 0.08 |  |  | -0.22 | 0.12 | -0.14 | 0.07 |  |  |
| SP's spouse/partner receives SSI last month No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 0.23 | 0.12 |  |  |  |  | -0.09 | 0.10 |  |  |  |  |
| SP has IRA or other retirement plans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 0.06 | 0.04 | 0.13 | 0.03 |  |  | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.13 | 0.05 |  |  |
| SP's spouse/partner has IRA or other retirement plans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | -0.03 | 0.04 |  |  |  |  | 0.15 | 0.04 |  |  |  |  |
| SP or spouse/partner of SP own any saving account or CD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.10 | 0.03 |  |  | 0.09 | 0.04 | 0.19 | 0.04 |  |  |
| SP owns checking account |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes |  |  | 0.12 | 0.08 |  |  |  |  | 0.31 | 0.08 |  |  |
| No money for housing, utilities or medical bills No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | -0.22 | 0.11 | 0.03 | 0.05 |  |  | -0.01 | 0.08 | -0.08 | 0.07 |  |  |
| Receive help from food stamp, other food assistance or gas, electricity, or other energy assistance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | -0.11 | 0.08 | -0.12 | 0.04 |  |  | -0.22 | 0.07 | -0.14 | 0.06 |  |  |
| Financial help from family |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | -0.10 | 0.08 | -0.05 | 0.05 |  |  | -0.14 | 0.07 | -0.14 | 0.05 |  |  |
| Financial gifts to family No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes |  |  | 0.12 | 0.03 |  |  |  |  | 0.21 | 0.04 |  |  |
| Financial gifts to family |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 No gift to family |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2<4000$ gift | 0.08 | 0.03 |  |  |  |  | 0.10 | 0.04 |  |  |  |  |
| $3>=4000 \mathrm{gift}$ | 0.25 | 0.05 |  |  |  |  | 0.34 | 0.05 |  |  |  |  |
| SP owns real estate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| Never married Marital status change from Round 3 to Round 5 <br> No <br> Yes | 0.28 | 0.34 | $\begin{array}{ll} -0.09 & 0.11 \\ -0.13 & 0.07 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{ll} -0.31 & 0.07 \\ -0.14 & 0.05 \end{array}$ |  |  |  | 0.11 |  |  | 0.06 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 HS | -0.02 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.04 |  |  | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.12 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.32 | 0.03 |
| $3>\mathrm{HS}$, <College | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.04 |  |  | 0.07 | 0.03 | 0.18 | 0.06 | 0.14 | 0.05 | 0.52 | 0.04 |
| 4 College+ | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.15 | 0.07 | 0.21 | 0.04 | 0.44 | 0.06 | 0.40 | 0.07 | 0.98 | 0.04 |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 Female |  |  | -0.04 | 0.04 | -0.01 | 0.02 |  |  | -0.19 | 0.04 | -0.11 | 0.02 |
| Race/ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 White, non-Hispanic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 Black, non-Hispanic | -0.05 | 0.07 | -0.03 | 0.04 | -0.10 | 0.03 | -0.13 | 0.06 | 0.02 | 0.05 | -0.28 | 0.03 |
| 3 Others/Missing | -0.22 | 0.12 | -0.13 | 0.09 | -0.30 | 0.06 | -0.16 | 0.09 | -0.19 | 0.11 | -0.45 | 0.07 |
| 4 Hispanics | -0.27 | 0.11 | 0.02 | 0.06 | -0.19 | 0.05 | -0.25 | 0.07 | -0.12 | 0.08 | -0.48 | 0.05 |
| Age | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -0.01 | 0.00 |
| Round 3 income | 0.62 | 0.05 | 0.57 | 0.05 | 0.78 | 0.02 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Census division |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Midwest Region | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.03 |
| South Region | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.03 | -0.01 | 0.04 | -0.01 | 0.05 | -0.03 | 0.03 |
| West Region | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.09 | 0.04 |
| Metro / Non-metro residence 1 Metropolitan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 Non-metropolitan | -0.06 | 0.04 | -0.08 | 0.04 | -0.09 | 0.02 | -0.12 | 0.04 | -0.02 | 0.04 | -0.17 | 0.03 |
| Response type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Sample Person (SP) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 Proxy | 0.04 | 0.08 | -0.01 | 0.08 | -0.05 | 0.05 | -0.07 | 0.08 | 0.13 | 0.06 | -0.10 | 0.05 |
| SP receives social security |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.08 |  |  | -0.06 | 0.04 | -0.26 | 0.09 |  |  |
| No checking account owed <br> No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | -0.01 | 0.14 |  |  |  |  | -0.27 | 0.14 |  |  |  |  |
| Constant | 4.03 | 0.66 | 4.05 | 0.48 | 2.35 | 0.31 | 11.05 | 0.22 | 9.87 | 0.24 | 11.09 | 0.14 |
| Log of sigma | -0.74 | 0.04 | -0.75 | 0.06 | -0.78 | 0.04 | -0.65 | 0.03 | -0.60 | 0.04 | -0.51 | 0.02 |
| Sigma | 0.48 | 0.02 | 0.47 | 0.03 | 0.46 | 0.02 | 0.52 | 0.02 | 0.55 | 0.02 | 0.60 | 0.01 |

Appendix Table 6. Model results for NHATS Round 7 by imputation groups

|  | 1-Has spouse/ partner |  | 2-No spouse/ partner |  | 3-Breakoff |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Coef. | SE | Coef. | Coef. | SE | Coef. |
| SP's spouse/partner work for pay last month |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 0.10 | 0.03 |  |  |  |  |
| SP has pension plan |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.11 | 0.03 |  |  |
| SP's spouse/partner has pension plan |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 0.05 | 0.02 |  |  |  |  |
| SP receives social security |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | -0.04 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.06 |  |  |
| SP's spouse/partner receives social security |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | -0.05 | 0.03 |  |  |  |  |
| SP receives payment from VA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 0.08 | 0.04 | 0.16 | 0.04 |  |  |
| SP's spouse/partner receives payment from VA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 0.01 | 0.05 |  |  |  |  |
| SP receives SSI last month |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 0.01 | 0.11 | -0.10 | 0.06 |  |  |
| SP's spouse/partner receives SSI last month |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | -0.05 | 0.09 |  |  |  |  |
| SP has IRA or other retirement plans |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.08 | 0.03 |  |  |
| SP's spouse/partner has IRA or other retirement plans |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 0.05 | 0.03 |  |  |  |  |
| SP or spouse/partner of SP own any saving account or CD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.03 |  |  |
| SP owns checking account |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes |  |  | 0.10 | 0.07 |  |  |
| No checking account owed |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | -0.13 | 0.15 |  |  |  |  |
| No money for housing, utilities or medical bills |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | -0.17 | 0.07 | 0.10 | 0.04 |  |  |
| Receive help from food stamp, other food assistance or gas, electricity, or other energy assistance |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | -0.14 | 0.05 | -0.10 | 0.04 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Financial help from family No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | -0.20 | 0.07 | -0.02 | 0.04 |  |  |
| Gift to family |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 No gift to family |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 < 4000 gift | 0.06 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.03 |  |  |
| $3>=4000 \mathrm{gift}$ : | 0.18 | 0.04 | 0.22 | 0.05 |  |  |
| SP owns real estate |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes |  |  | 0.07 | 0.04 |  |  |



| 1 MALE 2 FEMALE |  |  | -0.08 | 0.03 | -0.03 | 0.02 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Race/ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 White, non-Hispanic |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 Black, non-Hispanic | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.04 | -0.05 | 0.02 |
| 3 Others/Missing | -0.02 | 0.09 | 0.04 | 0.06 | -0.04 | 0.05 |
| 4 Hispanics | -0.07 | 0.06 | -0.05 | 0.05 | -0.14 | 0.04 |
| Age | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Round 5 income | 0.59 | 0.03 | 0.43 | 0.04 | 0.67 | 0.02 |
| Census division |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Midwest Region | -0.05 | 0.04 | -0.08 | 0.04 | -0.07 | 0.03 |
| South Region | -0.02 | 0.04 | -0.04 | 0.04 | -0.04 | 0.03 |
| West Region | 0.00 | 0.04 | -0.01 | 0.04 | -0.02 | 0.03 |
| Metro / Non-metro residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Metropolitan |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 Non-metropolitan | -0.02 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| Response type |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Sample Person (SP) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 Proxy | -0.04 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.01 | 0.04 |
| Constant | 4.24 | 0.42 | 5.60 | 0.45 | 3.56 | 0.23 |
| Log of sigma | -0.82 | 0.04 | -0.73 | 0.04 | -0.79 | 0.03 |
| Sigma | 0.44 | 0.02 | 0.48 | 0.02 | 0.45 | 0.01 |

Appendix Table 7. Model results for NHATS Round 9 by imputation groups

|  | 1-Has spouse/ partner |  | 2-No spouse/ partner |  | 3-Breakoff |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Coef. | SE | Coef. | Coef. | SE | Coef. |
| SP has pension plan |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.12 | 0.03 |  |  |
| SP's spouse/partner has pension plan |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 0.07 | 0.02 |  |  |  |  |
| SP receives social security |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.07 |  |  |
| SP's spouse/partner receives social security |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | -0.02 | 0.03 |  |  |  |  |
| SP receives payment from VA  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.11 | 0.06 |  |  |
| SP's spouse/partner receives payment from VA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 0.09 | 0.04 |  |  |  |  |
| Whether SP or spouse/partner of SP own any mutual fund or bonds |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes |  |  | 0.11 | 0.04 |  |  |
| SP receives SSI last month |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | -0.07 | 0.10 | -0.04 | 0.05 |  |  |
| SP's spouse/partner receives SSI last month |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 0.03 | 0.10 |  |  |  |  |
| SP owns checking account |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes |  |  | 0.08 | 0.07 |  |  |
| SP or spouse/partner of SP own any saving account or CD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.04 |  |  |
| SP has IRA or other retirement plans |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | -0.02 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.04 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 0.04 | 0.03 |  |  |  |  |
| Credit card payment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 pay off |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 pay minimum or more than minimum but not entire with less than 4,000 balances | -0.16 | 0.04 | -0.03 | 0.04 |  |  |
| 3 pay more than minimum but not entire with 4,000 or more balances | 0.00 | 0.04 | -0.06 | 0.05 |  |  |
| 4 don't have credit card | -0.15 | 0.05 | -0.15 | 0.05 |  |  |
| Whether SP or spouse/partner of SP own any mutual fund or bonds |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 0.06 | 0.03 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.02 |
| SP's spouse/partner work for pay last month |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 0.08 | 0.03 |  |  |  |  |
| No money for housing, utilities or medical bills |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Yes | -0.11 | 0.05 | -0.08 | 0.09 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Receive help from food stamp, other food assistance or gas, electricity, or other energy assistance |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | -0.11 | 0.04 | -0.10 | 0.04 |  |  |
| Section 8 public housing or housing for low-income seniors |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes |  |  | -0.01 | 0.05 |  |  |
| Medical bills paid overtime |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.05 |  |  |
| SP owns real estate |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes |  |  | 0.14 | 0.03 |  |  |
| No real estate owned by SP or spouse/partner of SP |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | -0.07 | 0.03 |  |  |  |  |
| Financial help from family |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | -0.05 | 0.04 | -0.07 | 0.04 |  |  |
| Gift to family |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 No gift to family |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2<4000 \mathrm{gift}$ | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.03 |  |  |
| $3>=4000$ gift: | 0.09 | 0.03 | 0.20 | 0.05 |  |  |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1<\mathrm{HS}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 HS | 0.08 | 0.05 | 0.11 | 0.05 | 0.15 | 0.03 |
| 3 >HS, <College | 0.14 | 0.04 | 0.14 | 0.05 | 0.21 | 0.03 |
| 4 College+ | 0.20 | 0.05 | 0.29 | 0.05 | 0.33 | 0.03 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Married |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 Living with a partner |  |  |  |  | -0.05 | 0.06 |
| 3 Separated |  |  |  |  | -0.04 | 0.09 |
| 4 Divorced |  |  | -0.20 | 0.08 | -0.24 | 0.03 |
| 5 Widowed |  |  | -0.15 | 0.08 | -0.17 | 0.02 |
| 6 Never married |  |  | -0.25 | 0.10 | -0.30 | 0.05 |
| Marital status change from Round 7 to Round 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 0.12 | 0.06 | -0.11 | 0.06 | -0.13 | 0.05 |
| R7 income | 0.64 | 0.03 | 0.53 | 0.04 | 0.74 | 0.02 |
| No checking account owed |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | -0.09 | 0.12 |  |  |  |  |
| Broken steps to home |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | -0.01 | 0.04 | -0.12 | 0.05 | -0.08 | 0.03 |
| Whether any litter, graffiti on buildings and walls, or vacant or deserted houses |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | -0.05 | 0.04 | 0.11 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.03 |
| Continuous sidewalks |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 0.07 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.02 |
| Home ownership (three-category) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 own, home value < 200,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 own, home value >= 200,000 | 0.08 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.04 |  |  |
| 3 rent | -0.08 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.04 |  |  |
| 4 other arrangement |  |  | -0.07 | 0.04 |  |  |
| Whether broken windows, crumbling foundation, missing bricks, siding or roof problems |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | -0.07 | 0.05 | 0.12 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.03 |



Appendix Table 8. Model results for NHATS Round 11 by imputation groups

|  | 1-Has spouse/ partner |  | 2-No spouse/ partner |  | 3-Breakoff |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Coef. | SE | Coef. | SE | Coef. | SE |
| SP's spouse/partner work for pay last month |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 0.07 | 0.04 |  |  |  |  |
| SP has pension plan |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 0.07 | 0.03 | 0.15 | 0.03 |  |  |
| SP's spouse/partner has pension plan |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 0.06 | 0.03 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | -0.08 | 0.04 | 0.09 | 0.10 |  |  |
| SP's spouse/partner receives social security |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | -0.05 | 0.04 |  |  |  |  |
| SP receives payment from VA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 0.07 | 0.04 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 0.04 | 0.05 |  |  |  |  |
| SP receives SSI last month |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes |  |  | -0.11 | 0.05 |  |  |
| No SSI received last month |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 0.12 | 0.11 |  |  |  |  |
| SP has IRA or other retirement plans |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.12 | 0.04 |  |  |
| SP's spouse/partner has IRA or other retirement plans ${ }^{\text {S }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 0.05 | 0.03 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | -0.05 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.03 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.06 |  |  |
| Receive help from food stamp, other food assistance or gas, electricity, or other energy assistance |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 0.04 | 0.07 | -0.06 | 0.04 |  |  |
| Financial help from family |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | -0.12 | 0.06 | -0.02 | 0.04 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes |  |  | 0.16 | 0.05 |  |  |
| No real estate owned by SP or spouse/partner of SP |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | -0.07 | 0.03 |  |  |  |  |
| Medical bills paid over time |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | -0.01 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.06 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.03 |
| Continuous sidewalks |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| No | $0.06$ | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Whether any litter, graffiti on buildings and walls, or vacant or deserted houses |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | -0.01 | 0.06 | -0.09 | 0.05 | -0.07 | 0.03 |
| Whether broken windows, crumbling foundation, missing bricks, siding or roof problems |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | -0.07 | 0.09 | -0.10 | 0.05 | -0.11 | 0.04 |
| Whether SP or spouse/partner of SP own any mutual fund or bonds |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 0.07 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.04 |  |  |
| Credit card payment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 pay off |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 pay minimum or more than minimum but not entire with less than 4,000 balances |  |  | 0.01 | 0.04 |  |  |
| 3 pay more than minimum but not entire with 4,000 or more balances |  |  | 0.01 | 0.06 |  |  |
| 4 don't have credit card |  |  | -0.04 | 0.06 |  |  |
| Don't have any credit card |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | -0.04 | 0.06 |  |  |  |  |
| Home ownership (four-category) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 own, home value < 200,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 own, home value >= 200,000 | 0.14 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.04 |  |  |
| 3 rent | 0.04 | 0.05 | -0.13 | 0.04 |  |  |
| 4 other arrangement |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential care status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Community |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 Residential care not nursing home (SP interview) | 0.01 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.06 | -0.03 | 0.04 |
| 4 Nursing home (SP interview) | 0.05 | 0.14 | -0.31 | 0.22 | -0.35 | 0.11 |
| Section 8 public housing or housing for low-income seniors |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes |  |  | -0.10 | 0.06 |  |  |
| Ever work for pay |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.02 | 0.03 |
| Financial Gifts to family |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 No gift to family |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2<4000 \mathrm{gift}$ | 0.01 | 0.03 |  |  |  |  |
| $3>=4000$ gift: | 0.02 | 0.04 |  |  |  |  |
| Financial gifts to family |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes |  |  | 0.05 | 0.03 |  |  |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Married |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 Living with a partner |  |  |  |  | 0.03 | 0.06 |
| 3 Separated |  |  |  |  | -0.37 | 0.12 |
| 4 Divorced |  |  | 0.20 | 0.14 | -0.20 | 0.03 |
| 5 Widowed |  |  | 0.25 | 0.14 | -0.15 | 0.02 |
| 6 Never married |  |  | 0.04 | 0.16 | -0.39 | 0.09 |
| Marital status change from Round 9 to Round 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 0.03 | 0.08 | -0.11 | 0.06 | -0.13 | 0.04 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1<\mathrm{HS}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 HS | 0.13 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.03 |
| $3>\mathrm{HS}$, <College | 0.14 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.12 | 0.04 |
| 4 College+ | 0.19 | 0.07 | 0.12 | 0.06 | 0.20 | 0.04 |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 2 Female |  |  | -0.08 | 0.03 | -0.03 | 0.02 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Race/ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 White, non-Hispanic |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 Black, non-Hispanic | -0.02 | 0.04 | -0.03 | 0.04 | -0.06 | 0.03 |
| 3 Others/Missing | -0.05 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.08 | -0.07 | 0.03 |
| 4 Hispanics | -0.06 | 0.08 | -0.06 | 0.05 | -0.13 | 0.04 |
| Age | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Round 9 income | 0.70 | 0.03 | 0.59 | 0.04 | 0.77 | 0.02 |
| Census division |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Midwest Region | -0.02 | 0.04 | -0.10 | 0.05 | -0.05 | 0.03 |
| South Region | -0.04 | 0.04 | -0.05 | 0.04 | -0.04 | 0.03 |
| West Region | -0.08 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.04 | -0.03 | 0.03 |
| Metro / Non-metro residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Metropolitan |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 Non-metropolitan | 0.04 | 0.03 | -0.09 | 0.04 | -0.03 | 0.02 |
| Response type |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Sample Person (SP) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 Proxy | -0.11 | 0.08 | -0.06 | 0.07 | -0.09 | 0.04 |
| SP owns checking account |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes |  |  | 0.01 | 0.08 |  |  |
| Survey mode |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Part 1 conducted in person |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Part 1 conducted on phone | 0.03 | 0.02 | -0.05 | 0.03 | -0.01 | 0.02 |
| Constant | 2.97 | 0.52 | 3.82 | 0.47 | 2.57 | 0.25 |
| Log of sigma | -1.03 | 0.05 | -0.73 | 0.06 | -0.88 | 0.04 |
| Sigma | 0.36 | 0.02 | 0.48 | 0.03 | 0.41 | 0.02 |

Appendix Table 9. Model results for NHATS Round 12 by imputation groups

|  | Continuing SP |  |  |  | New SP |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1-Has spouse/ partner |  | 2-No spouse/ partner |  | 4-Has spouse/ partner |  | 5-No spouse/ partner |  | 6-Breakoff |  |
| Variable Labels | Coef. | SE | Coef. | SE | Coef. | SE | Coef. | SE | Coef. | SE |
| SP's spouse/partner work for pay last month No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 0.01 | 0.05 |  |  | 0.21 | 0.05 |  |  |  |  |
| SP has pension plan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.09 | 0.03 | 0.15 | 0.04 | 0.20 | 0.05 |  |  |
| SP's spouse/partner has pension plan No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 0.02 | 0.03 |  |  | 0.20 | 0.05 |  |  |  |  |
| SP receives social security |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | -0.01 | 0.04 | 0.13 | 0.08 | -0.11 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.09 |  |  |
| SP's spouse/partner receives social security |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 0.02 | 0.04 |  |  | -0.07 | 0.05 |  |  |  |  |
| SP receives payment from VA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | -0.05 | 0.04 | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.01 | 0.08 | 0.32 | 0.08 |  |  |
| SP's spouse/partner receives payment from VA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 0.02 | 0.05 |  |  | 0.01 | 0.09 | -0.15 | 0.04 |  |  |
| SP receives SSI last month |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 0.02 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.07 | -0.41 | 0.17 | -0.10 | 0.06 |  |  |
| SP's spouse/partner receives SSI last month |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | -0.05 | 0.12 |  |  | -0.02 | 0.13 |  |  |  |  |
| SP has IRA or other retirement plans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.08 | 0.04 | 0.08 | 0.05 | 0.30 | 0.05 |  |  |
| SP's spouse/partner has IRA or other retirement plans No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | -0.02 | 0.03 |  |  | 0.19 | 0.05 |  |  |  |  |
| SP or spouse/partner of SP own any saving account or CD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.15 | 0.06 | -0.02 | 0.05 |  |  |
| SP owns checking account |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  | 0.37 | 0.13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.19 | 0.07 |  |  |
| No money for housing, utilities or medical bills No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.12 | 0.06 | -0.22 | 0.09 | -0.03 | 0.05 |  |  |
| Receive help from food stamp, other food assistance or gas, electricity, or other energy assistance No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | -0.06 | 0.07 | -0.21 | 0.06 | -0.14 | 0.10 | -0.32 | 0.05 |  |  |
| Financial help from family |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | -0.12 | 0.08 | -0.08 | 0.04 | -0.20 | 0.11 |  |  |  |  |
| Financial Gifts to family |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 No gift to family |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2<4000 \mathrm{gift}$ | 0.04 | 0.03 |  |  | 0.13 | 0.05 |  |  |  |  |
| $3>=4000$ gift: | 0.12 | 0.03 |  |  | 0.35 | 0.06 |  |  |  |  |
| Financial gifts to family |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes |  |  | 0.08 | 0.03 |  |  | 0.16 | 0.05 |  |  |
| SP owns real estate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes |  |  | 0.08 | 0.04 |  |  | 0.22 | 0.07 |  |  |
| No real estate owned by SP or spouse/partner of SP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| $3>\mathrm{HS}$, <College | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.18 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.53 | 0.05 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 College+ | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.16 | 0.06 | 0.38 | 0.08 | 0.37 | 0.07 | 1.01 | 0.05 |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 MALE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 FEMALE |  |  | -0.08 | 0.03 |  |  | -0.09 | 0.05 | -0.08 | 0.04 |
| Race/ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 White, non-Hispanic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 Black, non-Hispanic | -0.03 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.01 | 0.05 | -0.26 | 0.05 |
| 3 Others/Missing | 0.03 | 0.06 | -0.04 | 0.06 | -0.34 | 0.21 | 0.20 | 0.12 | -0.51 | 0.16 |
| 4 Hispanics | -0.12 | 0.09 | 0.01 | 0.08 | -0.21 | 0.07 | -0.05 | 0.05 | -0.44 | 0.05 |
| Age | -0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Round 11 income | 0.75 | 0.04 | 0.54 | 0.04 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Census division |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Midwest Region | 0.08 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.05 | -0.10 | 0.07 | 0.10 | 0.08 | -0.12 | 0.05 |
| South Region | 0.02 | 0.04 | -0.09 | 0.05 | -0.05 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.06 | -0.05 | 0.04 |
| West Region | 0.06 | 0.04 | -0.02 | 0.05 | -0.10 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.07 | -0.08 | 0.05 |
| Metro / Non-metro residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 Non-metropolitan | -0.02 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.04 | -0.03 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.05 | -0.14 | 0.04 |
| \|Response type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Sample person (SP) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 Proxy | 0.01 | 0.12 | 0.04 | 0.09 | -0.19 | 0.11 | -0.06 | 0.11 | -0.30 | 0.08 |
| Constant | 2.94 | 0.45 | 4.22 | 0.49 | 10.40 | 0.34 | 9.95 | 0.29 | 10.78 | 0.20 |
| Log of sigma | -1.00 | 0.06 | -0.74 | 0.05 | -0.48 | 0.05 | -0.65 | 0.04 | -0.35 | 0.03 |
| Sigma | 0.37 | 0.02 | 0.48 | 0.02 | 0.62 | 0.03 | 0.52 | 0.02 | 0.70 | 0.02 |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The $v$. 1 Round 12 NHATS imputations were initially released before imputations for all rounds were completed (Hu \& Freedman 2023). As we finalized earlier rounds, we made minor modifications to Round 12 to ensure consistency across rounds. We therefore recommend the research community use version 2 of the Round 12 imputations.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Cases with reported income $<\$ 200$ and $>\$ 900,000$ were confirmed to be substantially different from reports in prior rounds and therefore imputed.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ Although we did include publicly available geographic indicators (SP's census division and metro/non-metro residence) as controls, we could not directly account for strata and cluster variables in the imputation strategy. We considered other approaches-e.g., adding a variable for each strata by cluster combination or imputing separately by each unique cluster (Heeringa, West \& Berglund, 2017; Kalpourtzi et al. 2024; Reiter 2006). However, the study has too many cluster/strata combinations to implement these approaches. We also explored controlling for the full set of replicate weights, which captures the survey's complex design, and found results were substantially similar to the final income imputations that we released. For example, for group 1 (i.e., continuing SP with spouse/partner) of Round 12, estimates for including replicate weights were 94,550 (mean), 4,134 (SE), 47,313 ( $25^{\text {th }}$ percentile), 75,000 (median), and 117,162 ( $75^{\text {th }}$ percentile), while for our current approach, the values were 93,854 (mean), 4,002 (SE), 47,254 ( $25^{\text {th }}$ percentile), 75,000 (median), and 116,005 ( $75^{\text {th }}$ percentile). However, the model did not consistently converge across imputation groups.

[^3]:    * Reported or average of 20 imputed values for the other two groups are also included in model estimation of the breakoff group.

