

NATIONAL HEALTH AND AGING TRENDS STUDY (NHATS)
Round 7 Income Imputation

November 26, 2018

Suggested Citation: DeMatteis Jill, Freedman Vicki A., and Kasper, Judith D. 2018. National Health and Aging Trends Study Round 7 Income Imputation. NHATS Technical Paper #21. Johns Hopkins University School of Public Health. Available at www.NHATS.org. This technical paper was prepared with funding from the National Institute on Aging (U01AG032947).

Overview

In preparing survey data files for analysis, imputation is often used to address item nonresponse, particularly when complex multi-variate recodes are required that are built up from a collection of more detailed questions (Marker, Judkins, and Winglee, 2001). Rounds 1, 3, 5 and 7 of the National Health and Aging Trends Survey (NHATS) include imputed values for total income. Both a continuous measure and a bracket value are provided, with separate bracket values for single respondents and those who are married or are living with a partner. We used a cyclical n-partition hot deck (see Judkins 1997) to generate five imputations of each measure. This technical paper provides details on the imputation strategy.

Income Sources Collected in NHATS

Rounds 1 and 5 of NHATS collected information on sources of income (yes/no) and amounts for each source. Rounds 3 and 7 also collected information on sources of income (yes/no) but not amounts for each source. Respondents with a spouse/partner were given the option of reporting sources for themselves either together or separately from their spouse/partner. Table 1 shows the income sources included in NHATS Round 7.

Table 1. Summary of Income Sources Collected in NHATS Round 7

| Sources of Income | Time frame |
|--|------------|
| Social Security | Last Month |
| Supplemental Security Income | Last Month |
| Veteran's Administration | Last Month |
| Pension plan | -- |
| Earned Income | Last Month |
| Interest/dividend income from any: mutual funds/stocks, bonds, bank accounts, or CDs | -- |
| Real estate | -- |
| Retirement account withdrawals | -- |
| Total income from all sources | Last Year |

--Asked about the existence of relevant asset

Extent of Missing Data for Total Income

Sixty-five percent of the sample provided a total income amount and an additional 19% reported a bracketed value instead. Thus, a bracketed value could be created from reports for 85% of the sample and required imputation for 15%. An exact value was then imputed for 35% of the sample (19% within a reported bracketed value and 15% within an imputed bracketed value).

Imputation Methodology

Westat's AutoImpute software was used to impute five values of the total income items. AutoImpute uses a cyclical n-partition hot deck (an approach analogous to the Gibbs sampler but using the hot deck to generate the imputations). (See Judkins 1997; Judkins et al. 2007; Judkins, Piesse, and Krenzke 2008; Krenzke and Judkins 2008.) This software is designed to facilitate preservation of multivariate distributions while also ensuring that imputations maintain skip patterns and adhere to constraints. In this application an example of a constraint is ensuring imputations for specific amounts fall within reported (or imputed) bracket ranges.

The cyclical n-partition hot deck procedure initially imputes all target variables (i.e., items requiring imputation) using a simple hot deck that uses specified auxiliary variables and skip controllers. Using the

initial imputed variables, a model is fit for each target variable using simple forward stepwise regression selection. The predicted values of the target variable from the final model are used to generate imputed values by using predictive mean matching for ordinal (ordered categorical) target variables and clustering for unordered categorical target variables. Predictive mean matching uses a hot deck with the skip controllers as hard boundaries and the predicted values from the final model as soft boundaries. For unordered categorical target variables, a k-means clustering algorithm is used on the vector of predicted values for each level, and then a hot deck is used to impute the target variable with the skip controllers as hard boundaries and the cluster membership indicators as soft boundaries. For more details on the procedure see Judkins et al. (2007).

Variables Used in Imputation

Three classes of variables were used in the imputation (see Appendix tables):

1. Source variables that indicate (yes/no) whether the respondent (and his/her spouse/partner, if applicable) has the particular source of income (referred to below as “fencepost” variables);
2. Auxiliary variables that included respondent characteristics (e.g., age, race/ethnicity, gender, educational attainment, home ownership (in Round 7), veteran’s status, labor force status (in Round 7), spouse/partner’s labor force status (in Round 7), interviewer observations about the home condition and skip pattern controllers; and
3. Total income (reported or imputed), as well as source variables, from Round 5.

In order to preserve the joint distribution of the full set of income variables, all missing fencepost, auxiliary, and total income variables were imputed.

When imputing total income variables, both the Round 5 and Round 7 source variables and Round 5 total income were used, along with the auxiliary variables. Total income value was also constrained to fall within the reported/imputed bracket amount.

Income Imputation Variables in the SP File

The following imputed variables are included on the SP data file:

| Variable name | Label | Description |
|--|---------------------------------------|--|
| 2016 Total Income Value | | |
| la7totinc | R7 IA50 TOTAL INCOME | Actual reported \$ amounts |
| la7toincimf | R7 F IMPUTED TOTAL INC FLG | Flag indicating imputation Imputed values 1-5 for missing \$ amounts and reported bracket amounts |
| la7toincim1-5 | R7 IA50 IMPUTED TOTAL INC1-INC5 | amounts |
| 2016 Total Income Range – Respondents who have spouse/partner | | |
| la7toincesjt | R7 IA51A JOINT EST TOT INCOME | Actual reported \$ amounts |
| la7eincimjf | R7 F IMPTD JOINT EST TOT INC FLG | Flag indicating imputation Imputed values 1-5 for missing \$ amounts and reported bracket amounts |
| la7eincimj1-5 | R7 IA51A IMP EST JOINT TOT INC1-INC5 | amounts |
| 2016 Total Income Range – Respondents who are single | | |
| la7toincessg | R7 IA51B SINGLE EST TOT INC | Actual reported \$ amounts |
| la7eincimsf | R7 F IMPUTED SGL EST TOT INC FLG | Flag indicating imputation Imputed values 1-5 for missing \$ amounts and reported bracket amounts |
| la7eincims1-5 | R7 IA51B IMP EST SP SGL TOT INC1-INC5 | amounts |

Using the Five Versions of the Imputed Variable in Analysis

For each of the three total income variables that was imputed, five sets of imputed variables were generated. For item nonrespondents, the five sets contain five independently generated imputed values. These five sets of imputed variables are provided to enable data users to use multiple imputation variance estimators and analysis techniques (see, for example, Rubin 1996) to account for the effects of item nonresponse and imputation error in variance estimates for analyses that use these income variables. In Round 7, item respondents with actual reported \$ amounts were not included in the 5 sets of imputed variables.

Because Round 5 variables were used in the imputation of Round 7 variables, in order to capture the effects of imputation of the Round 5 variables on the precision of estimates involving the Round 7 variables, the five sets of imputed values for the Round 5 variables were used to impute the five sets of imputed values for the Round 7 variables.

References

- Judkins, D. (1997). Imputing for Swiss cheese patterns of missing data. *Proceedings of Statistics Canada Symposium '97*, 143-148.
- Judkins, D., Krenzke, T., Piesse, A., Fan, Z., and Haung, W.C. (2007). Preservation of skip patterns and covariate structure through semi-parametric whole questionnaire imputation. *Proceedings of the Section on Survey Research Methods of the American Statistical Association*, 3211-3218.
- Judkins, D., Piesse, A., and Krenzke, T. (2008). Multiple semi-parametric imputation. *Proceedings of the Section on Survey Research Methods of the American Statistical Association*.
- Krenzke, T. and Judkins, D. (2008). Filling in blanks: Some guesses are better than others – Illustrating the impact of covariate selection when imputing complex survey items. *Chance*, 21(3), 7-13.
- Marker, D. A., Judkins, D. R., and Winglee, M. (2001). Large-scale imputation for complex surveys. In *Survey Nonresponse*, Eds. R. M. Groves, D. A. Dillman, E. L. Eltinge, and R. J. A. Little. New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- Rubin, D.B. (1996). Multiple imputation after 18+ years. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 91(434), 473-489.

Appendix. Lists of Variables Used in NHATS Round 7 Income Imputation

Table 1. Round 7 Source (“Fencepost”) Variables

| # | Variable name | Label | % missing |
|----|---------------|----------------------------------|-----------|
| 1 | ia7recsspa1 | R7 IA1 SP REC SOCIAL SECURITY | 2.2 |
| 2 | ia7recsspa2 | R7 IA1 SPOUSE PART REC SOC SEC | 2.2 |
| 3 | ia7recsspa3 | R7 IA1 NO SOC SECURTY PAYMNT REC | 2.2 |
| 4 | ia7recssils1 | R7 IA4 SP RECEIVD SSI LAST MONTH | 3.1 |
| 5 | ia7recssils2 | R7 IA4 SPOUSE PRT REC SSI LST MO | 3.1 |
| 6 | ia7recssils3 | R7 IA4 NO SSI RECEIVD LAST MONTH | 3.1 |
| 7 | ia7rvapayls1 | R7 IA5 SP REC PAY FRM VA LAST MO | 1.9 |
| 8 | ia7rvapayls2 | R7 IA5 SPOUS PA REC VA PAY LSTMO | 1.9 |
| 9 | ia7rvapayls3 | R7 IA5 NO VA PAY REC LAST MONTH | 1.9 |
| 10 | ia7penjobou1 | R7 IA6 SP HAS PENSION PLAN | 2.9 |
| 11 | ia7penjobou2 | R7 IA6 SPOUSE HAS PENSION PLAN | 2.9 |
| 12 | ia7penjobou3 | R7 IA6 NO PENSION PLAN | 2.9 |
| 13 | ia7iraothac1 | R7 IA7 SP HAS IRA OTH RETIRE ACC | 5.0 |
| 14 | ia7iraothac2 | R7 IA7 SPOUSE HAS IRA OTHR ACC | 5.0 |
| 15 | ia7iraothac3 | R7 IA7 NO IRA OTHR RETIRE ACCT | 5.0 |
| 16 | ia7mutfdstk1 | R7 IA8 SP OWNS MUTUAL FUND STOCK | 4.1 |
| 17 | ia7mutfdstk2 | R7 IA8 SPOUSE OWNS FUNDS STOCK | 4.1 |
| 18 | ia7mutfdstk3 | R7 IA8 SP SPOUSE OWN FUNDS STOCK | 4.1 |
| 19 | ia7mutfdstk4 | R7 IA8 NO FUNDS OR STOCK OWNED | 4.1 |
| 20 | ia7ownbond1 | R7 IA9 SP OWNS BONDS | 4.2 |
| 21 | ia7ownbond2 | R7 IA9 SPOUSE OWNS BONDS | 4.2 |
| 22 | ia7ownbond3 | R7 IA9 SP SPOUSE OWN BONDS | 4.2 |
| 23 | ia7ownbond4 | R7 IA9 NO BONDS OWNED | 4.2 |
| 24 | ia7bnkaccdd1 | R7 IA10 SP OWNS CHECK ACCT | 2.8 |
| 25 | ia7bnkaccdd2 | R7 IA10 SPOUSE OWNS CHECK ACCT | 2.8 |
| 26 | ia7bnkaccdd3 | R7 IA10 SP SPOUSE OWN CHECK ACCT | 2.8 |
| 27 | ia7bnkaccdd4 | R7 IA10 NO CHECK ACCT OWNED | 2.8 |
| 28 | ia7bnkaccdd5 | R7 IA10 SP OWNS SAVINGS ACCT | 3.7 |
| 29 | ia7bnkaccdd6 | R7 IA10 SPOUSE OWNS SAVING ACCT | 3.7 |
| 30 | ia7bnkaccdd7 | R7 IA10 SP SPOUSE OWN SAVNG ACT | 3.7 |
| 31 | ia7bnkaccdd8 | R7 IA10 NO SAVINGS ACCT OWNED | 3.7 |
| 32 | ia7bnkaccdd9 | R7 IA10 SP OWNS CDS | 5.0 |
| 33 | ia7bnkaccdd10 | R7 IA10 SPOUSE OWNS CDS | 5.0 |
| 34 | ia7bnkaccdd11 | R7 IA10 SP SPOUSE OWN CDS | 5.0 |
| 35 | ia7bnkaccdd12 | R7 IA10 NO CDS OWNED | 5.0 |
| 36 | ia7realestt1 | R7 IA13 SP OWNS REAL ESTATE | 2.6 |
| 37 | ia7realestt2 | R7 IA13 SPOUSE OWNS REAL ESTATE | 2.6 |
| 38 | ia7realestt3 | R7 IA13 SP SPOUSE OWN REAL ESTTE | 2.6 |
| 39 | ia7realestt4 | R7 IA13 NO REAL ESTATE OWNED | 2.6 |
| 40 | lf7workfpay | R7 LF1 WORKED FOR PAY RECENTLY | 0.7 |
| 41 | lf7abstlstwk | R7 LF2 ABSENT FRM JOB LAST WEEK | 0.9 |
| 42 | lf7wrkplstmn | R7 LF3 WORK FOR PAY IN LST MONTH | 0.1 |
| 43 | lf7huswifwrk | R7 LF13 HUSB WIFE PARTN PAY WORK | 1.4 |

Table 2. Auxiliary Variables

| | Variable name | Label | % Missing |
|----|---------------------------|---|-----------|
| 1 | sex | GENDER | .1 |
| 2 | agecat_r5 | AGE CATEGORY AS OF 2014 | - |
| 3 | rtirace | RACEETH, 3-CATEGORY | - |
| 4 | per_cap_inc_5yr | PER CAPITA INCOME [ACS] | - |
| 5 | smptype | SAMPLE TYPE (O=ORIGINAL SMP, R=REPLENISHMENT SMP) | - |
| 6 | el5dhigstsch ² | R5 EL10 D HGHST DGREE SCOOOL COMPLD | - |
| 7 | rl5dracehisp | R5 D RACE AND HISPANIC ETHNICITY | - |
| 8 | va5serarmfor | R5 VA1 SERVED IN ARMED FORCES | - |
| 9 | va5memnatgrd | R5 VA3 MEMBER OF NATIONAL GUARD | - |
| 10 | fl7facility | R7 F ROUTING FLAG FROM RE4f HT3 5 6 7 | - |
| 11 | ir7areacond1 | R7 IR15 LITTER GLASS ON SDWLK ST | - |
| 12 | ir7areacond2 | R7 IR15 GRAFFITI ON BUILDG WALLS | - |
| 13 | ir7areacond3 | R7 IR15 VACANT HOUSES OR STORES | - |
| 14 | ir7condhome1 | R7 IR16 BROKEN WINDOWS IN HOME | - |
| 15 | ir7condhome2 | R7 IR16 CRUMBLNG FOUNDTN IN HOME | - |
| 16 | ir7condhome3 | R7 IR16 MISSNG BRCKS SIDNG IN HM | - |
| 17 | ir7condhome4 | R7 IR16 ROOF PROBLEM IN HOME | - |
| 18 | ir7condhome5 | R7 IR16 BROKEN STEPS TO HOME | - |
| 19 | ir7condhome6 | R7 IR16 CONTINUOUS SIDEWALKS | - |
| 20 | hh7dmarstat | R7 D MARITAL STATUS AT R7 | 0.0 |
| 21 | hh7livwthspo | R7 HH11 LIVE WITH SPOUSE PARTNER | - |
| 22 | hh7placekind | R7 HH12 KIND OF PLACE LIVE IN | - |
| 23 | pa7workfrpay | R7 PA17 EVER WORK FOR PAY | 0.0 |
| 24 | lf7mrthnonjb | R7 LF4 MOR THN ONE JOB LAST WEEK | 0.0 |
| 25 | lf7hrswkwork | R7 LF5 HRS PR WEEK WORK MAIN JOB | 1.4 |
| 26 | lf7hrwrkltwk | R7 LF6 HOURS WORK LAST WEEK | 1.4 |
| 27 | lf7hrwrklstw | R7 LF7 HOW MNY HOURS DID YOU WRK | 2.2 |
| 28 | lf7oftpaid | R7 LF8 HOW OFTN PAID ON MAIN JOB | 0.1 |
| 29 | hp7ownrentot | R7 HP1 OWN RENT OR OTHER | 1.2 |

¹ - indicates the variable was never missing.

² Equal to EL1HIGSTSCH for original sample cases and EL5HIGSTSCH for replenishment sample cases.

Table 3. Round 5 Source (“Fencepost”) Variables

| # | Variable name | Label |
|----|---------------|-----------------------------------|
| 1 | ia5recsspa1 | R5 IA1 SP REC SOCIAL SECURITY |
| 2 | ia5recsspa2 | R5 IA1 SPOUSE PART REC SOC SEC |
| 3 | ia5recsspa3 | R5 IA1 NO SOC SECURITY PAYMNT REC |
| 4 | ia5recssils1 | R5 IA4 SP RECEIVD SSI LAST MONTH |
| 5 | ia5recssils2 | R5 IA4 SPOUSE PRT REC SSI LST MO |
| 6 | ia5recssils3 | R5 IA4 NO SSI RECEIVD LAST MONTH |
| 7 | ia5rvapayls1 | R5 IA5 SP REC PAY FRM VA LAST MO |
| 8 | ia5rvapayls2 | R5 IA5 SPOUS PA REC VA PAY LSTMO |
| 9 | ia5rvapayls3 | R5 IA5 NO VA PAY REC LAST MONTH |
| 10 | ia5penjobou1 | R5 IA6 SP HAS PENSION PLAN |
| 11 | ia5penjobou2 | R5 IA6 SPOUSE HAS PENSION PLAN |
| 12 | ia5penjobou3 | R5 IA6 NO PENSION PLAN |
| 13 | ia5iraothac1 | R5 IA7 SP HAS IRA OTH RETIRE ACC |
| 14 | ia5iraothac2 | R5 IA7 SPOUSE HAS IRA OTHR ACC |
| 15 | ia5iraothac3 | R5 IA7 NO IRA OTHR RETIRE ACCT |
| 16 | ia5mutfdstk1 | R5 IA8 SP OWNS MUTUAL FUND STOCK |
| 17 | ia5mutfdstk2 | R5 IA8 SPOUSE OWNS FUNDS STOCK |
| 18 | ia5mutfdstk3 | R5 IA8 SP SPOUSE OWN FUNDS STOCK |
| 19 | ia5mutfdstk4 | R5 IA8 NO FUNDS OR STOCK OWNED |
| 20 | ia5ownbond1 | R5 IA9 SP OWNS BONDS |
| 21 | ia5ownbond2 | R5 IA9 SPOUSE OWNS BONDS |
| 22 | ia5ownbond3 | R5 IA9 SP SPOUSE OWN BONDS |
| 23 | ia5ownbond4 | R5 IA9 NO BONDS OWNED |
| 24 | ia5bnkaccdd1 | R5 IA10 SP OWNS CHECK ACCT |
| 25 | ia5bnkaccdd2 | R5 IA10 SPOUSE OWNS CHECK ACCT |
| 26 | ia5bnkaccdd3 | R5 IA10 SP SPOUSE OWN CHECK ACCT |
| 27 | ia5bnkaccdd4 | R5 IA10 NO CHECK ACCT OWNED |
| 28 | ia5bnkaccdd5 | R5 IA10 SP OWNS SAVINGS ACCT |
| 29 | ia5bnkaccdd6 | R5 IA10 SPOUSE OWNS SAVING ACCT |
| 30 | ia5bnkaccdd7 | R5 IA10 SP SPOUSE OWN SAVNG ACT |
| 31 | ia5bnkaccdd8 | R5 IA10 NO SAVINGS ACCT OWNED |
| 32 | ia5bnkaccdd9 | R5 IA10 SP OWNS CDS |
| 33 | ia5bnkaccdd10 | R5 IA10 SPOUSE OWNS CDS |
| 34 | ia5bnkaccdd11 | R5 IA10 SP SPOUSE OWN CDS |
| 35 | ia5bnkaccdd12 | R5 IA10 NO CDS OWNED |
| 36 | ia5realestt1 | R5 IA13 SP OWNS REAL ESTATE |
| 37 | ia5realestt2 | R5 IA13 SPOUSE OWNS REAL ESTATE |
| 38 | ia5realestt3 | R5 IA13 SP SPOUSE OWN REAL ESTTE |
| 39 | ia5realestt4 | R5 IA13 NO REAL ESTATE OWNED |
| 40 | lf5workfpay | R5 LF1 WORKED FOR PAY RECENTLY |
| 41 | lf5abstlstwk | R5 LF2 ABSENT FRM JOB LAST WEEK |
| 42 | lf5wrkplstmn | R5 LF3 WORK FOR PAY IN LST MONTH |
| 43 | lf5huswifwrk | R5 LF13 HUSB/WIFE/PARTN PAY WORK |
| 44 | ia5totinc | R5 IA50 TOTAL INCOME |
| 45 | ia5toincesjt | R5 IA51A JOINT EST TOT INCOME |
| 46 | ia5toincesg | R5 IA51B SNGLE EST TOT INC |